

Vital Analysis of Freedom of Expression in Social Media: In the Current Indian Scenario

* **Akhilesh Kumar Pandey**

* *Research Scholar, School of Law, Monad University, Hapur*

Available online at: <http://www.ijcert.org>

Received: 25/10/2022,

Revised: 26/11/2022,

Accepted: 12/12/2022,

Published: 18/12/2022

Abstract: The craze of social media is clearly visible in humans in this ever-increasing generation of current digitalization, globalization, privatization, and liberalization. Social media is attracting a large number of people for various purposes, and its influence, which is immense, is growing every day. Social media is readily available for contributors to any network to pursue their passion. In every field, the importance of social media is increasing very quickly. The general public is getting news and data about people through social media, due to which their dependence on published and electronic media is decreasing. Freedom of expression in social media is the unrestricted ability of any subject to express views and to seek, capture, and convey information through any method, regardless of its boundaries. In a democratic nation like India, every citizen has the freedom to use social media to clarify issues and spread statistics. In social media, we can add something, uplink it, comment on it, like it, rate it, and many more. We have this freedom as a fundamental right under the Indian Constitution of India. This paper is going into more detail about what social media is and the different types of it that customers are empowered to access and use. Furthermore, the paper gives a brief dialogue on the glorious and evil components of social media as well as its misuse. This paper also discusses the advantages and disadvantages of social media and social media censorship. This paper discusses the impact of social media on its massive use by enterprises and their current powerful connectivity with customers.

Keywords: Freedom of Expression, Social Media, Hate Speech, Censorship

1. Introduction

In this ever-increasing rush of current digitization, globalization, privatization, and liberalization, the trend of social media can be very clear among humans. Social media is easily accessible to members of any community for them to spend their time. In every field, the importance of social media is increasing at an extraordinary rate. The general public is getting news and facts from social media, due to which their dependence on print and electronic media is reducing.

Moreover, it is currently being observed that social media is being increasingly misused in many contexts. Every three months, a crowd as large as the entire population of the country throngs the theatres. Despite the huge size of the cinema industry, there is no harm in conservatively making commercially viable films that contain only dance and song sequences and follow standard formulas for entertainment. But the moment someone dares to speak the truth against the state by expressing his opinion on a sensitive or serious matter through films or documentaries, some of those in power don't like it.

Accordingly, "Water," "Final Solution," "War and Peace," and several other films were banned by the Central Board of Film Certification (hereinafter referred to as the

"Censor Board" or "Board") in the name of "public interest." Many other films, such as "The Da Vinci Code," "Traitor," or "Traitor," had to fight political censorship even after receiving approval from the censor board. According to official reports, the Indian film industry, which is the largest in the world, produces more than a thousand films annually, which are shown in more than 13,000 cinemas across the country. Discourse and expression are the ideas of having the right to speak freely and having the option of expressing oneself openly through the mouth, writing, craftsmanship, or any other mechanism of correspondence. Those incidents may be dismissed as political ploys or other trivialities, but there is a more fundamental issue at play: the subjugation of free speech and expression.

2. Freedom of Expression

The basic principle of democracy is: Freedom of speech and expression. Which is essential for the development and fulfillment of the personality of each individual? Because public discussion of political, economic, and social issues is essential to the proper functioning of a democratic government, it is essential that a free society maintain wide communication channels for the free flow of ideas.

According to Ivor Jennings,
*"The appeal to reason which is the basis of democracy cannot be made without freedom of speech."*¹

This can be best accomplished only by guaranteeing freedom of speech and expression. Every human being has the right to use and interpret experience in his own way, and choosing between alternatives activates the moral faculties of the human being. Freedom of speech is a trade in essential commodities like ideas. Internationally, several instruments have been developed to set standards for freedom of speech and expression, including: Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women, International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination, International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. (Universal Declaration of Human Rights, December 10, 1948)

*"Everyone has right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference, and impart information and ideas through any media regardless of frontiers."*²

The right to speak freely of discourse and articulation likewise has a spot in the Unified Countries General Statement of Common liberties, and numerous nations have formal acknowledgment of it in their constitutions, in spite of the way that the act of giving legitimate security to the right to speak freely of discourse and articulation might contrast from one country to another. Instances of such countries include: Africa, India, China, Australia, Europe, France, Germany, Joined Realm, Turkey, Canada, US of America, and so on. English rule expanded requests for the right to speak freely of discourse and articulation, including: Nehru Board of trustees (1928), Indian Public Congress Karachi meeting (1931), Round Table Gathering (1930-1932), Legislature of India Act (1935), lastly after Indian autonomy, the consideration of Article 19(1)(a). As per the Preface of the Constitution, one of the primary objectives of the Indian Constitution is to ensure opportunity of thought and articulation to all residents. The creators of the Constitution included the right to speak freely of discourse and articulation as a crucial right to guarantee that it was safeguarded from state activity to accomplish the objectives illustrated in the Preface.

Right to articulation incorporates discourse as well as different types of articulation. The right to speak freely of discourse and articulation, which is the mother of all opportunities, has been given pride of spot in our popularity based society. As indicated by the Prelude, one of the principal objectives of the Indian Constitution is to ensure opportunity of thought and articulation to all residents. "The right to speak freely of discourse and saying" is ensured as a crucial right under Article 19(1)(a), subject just to such limitations as might be forced by the State under provision (2) of that Article. The following was the relevant section of Article 19³:

Art.19. (1) All residents will have privileges

- a) To The right to speak freely of discourse and articulation;
- b) To gather peacefully and without weapons;

- c) To form an association or union;
- d) To move freely throughout the territory of India;
- e) To reside and settle in any part of the territory of India;
- f) To acquire, hold, and dispose of property; and
- g) To engage in any profession, trade, or business.

Nothing in sub-proviso (a) of condition (1) of Art.19(2) will influence the activity of any current regulation or keep the State from making any regulation concerning the activity of the right given by the said sub-segment. Sensible limitations might be forced on the off chance that they are in light of a legitimate concern for the sway and trustworthiness of India, the security of the State, well disposed relations with different States, public request, fairness or profound quality, or scorn of court, slander, or prompting to an offense.

State of West Bengal V. Subodh Gopal⁴, The Supreme Court has observed that Art. 19(1) is a guaranteed right. "These are the great and fundamental rights which are recognized and guaranteed as natural rights inherent in the status of a citizen of a free country."

In the case of **Secretary, Ministry of I & B v. Cricket Association of Bengal⁵** it was held by the Supreme Court that freedom of speech and expression includes "right to acquire information and to disseminate it to public at large". Hence, Article 19(1) (a) also includes the right of viewers.

3. Social Media

PC based apparatuses that empower people, gatherings, organizations, and different associations to access, offer, and trade data are alluded to as online entertainment. Since it supports the interconnectedness and relationship of our socially different world, online entertainment has turned into a significant piece of our lives today. Through web-based entertainment for social cooperation, individuals can convey and draw in with data that is rapidly accessible on the Web. Virtual entertainment has become progressively coordinated into day to day schedules because of the rising number of Web clients in the present society. Individuals presently utilize web-based entertainment to connect with others online to find out about others' viewpoints on issues, occasions and points. In particular, individuals likewise utilize virtual entertainment to interface with others; a kind of media permits individuals to converse with one another on the web and take part in discussions without meeting face to face. Virtual entertainment is applications for the Internet 2.0 Web. Individuals can make their profile with the assistance of web, which is a significant part of virtual entertainment. These days, individuals frequently utilize web-based entertainment to speak with loved ones and to remain informed about what's happening in their lives. Cooperation, transparency, and coordinated effort in any group environment can be handily achieved with the utilization of virtual entertainment.

3.1 Different forms of Social Media

3.1.1 Twitter

Today numerous people all over the planet including big names, political pioneers and people from various different backgrounds are exploiting Twitter administrations. A proficient micro blogging administration is accessible to everybody on the Web for nothing and permits clients to send and peruse messages of up to 140 characters. Twitter, made by Jack Dorsey, went live overall in July 2006. After that the notoriety of 'Twitter' advanced and the quantity of endorsers expanded quickly.

3.1.2 YouTube

In 2005, a PayPal worker fostered the video-sharing site YouTube, where clients could transfer recordings of their shows and view content. The fundamental idea is that clients can transfer recordings to the site as well as view and remark on them. A large portion of the most seen recordings today are connected with diversion, governmental issues, private matters, preparing programs, inspirational discourses and different points are connected; It is accessible to all through this channel.

3.1.3 Facebook

The central idea driving the production of Facebook is to give each enrolled client a chance to make a client profile with an image and speak with their companions. Harvard graduate Imprint Zuckerberg made Facebook on February 4, 2004, turning into the most generally utilized interpersonal interaction site today.

3.1.4 Instagram

Instagram is a web based compact photo sharing, video sharing and significant distance relational correspondence webpage that permits its clients to take pictures and accounts and offer them freely or furtively on the application as well as other for instance arranges significant distance correspondence. Facebook, Twitter is comparable correspondence locales and were purchased by Facebook in April 2012 for about US\$1 billion.

3.1.5 LinkedIn

The authority send off of LinkedIn Locales occurred on May 5, 2003. The greater part of its income until 2015 came from offering enlistment subject matter experts and arrangement expert's admittance to information about its clients. LinkedIn is an informal communication administration totally outfitted towards organizations.

3.1.6 MySpace

MySpace is an informal communication site. This informal communication site gives an intelligent organization of companions, individual profiles, online journals, gatherings, photographs, music and recordings that are presented by clients. It was the biggest person to person communication site on the planet from 2005 to 2009. In April 2008, MySpace was overwhelmed by Facebook.

3.1.7 Blogs

The expression "blog" is utilized as a contracted type of "weblog", and the two terms are frequently utilized conversely. Jorn Barger originally utilized the expression "weblog" on December 17, 1997. In April 1999; Peter Merholz presented the abbreviation "blog". There are a few kinds of sites, every one of which varies in the sort of happy, yet in addition in how the substance is introduced

or composed. A blog is an internet based journal or diary that is refreshed as often as possible.

3.2 Positive aspects of social media

The utilization of web-based entertainment has worked with family reunification of missing people. In this new period, virtual entertainment is likewise assuming a significant part in teaching the buyers about their freedoms. Online entertainment gives many advantages to the general population. Following are a portion of the advantages of virtual entertainment:

- i. lay out genuine companionship
- ii. Fast correspondence, particularly during a crisis
- iii. In contact with the world in the briefest conceivable time
- iv. Foster solid connections
- v. commitment to the Nobel cause
- vi. Furnishing various sources with data or thoughts.

3.3 Negative Effects of Social Media

Many individuals utilize online entertainment just to relax, unreasonable and uncontrolled utilization of which can bring about serious fixation. As we have frequently seen, numerous clients utilize virtual entertainment for exceptionally private and irrelevant things. Two young ladies were captured and later delivered on private bond for raising the issue of bandh in Mumbai for the burial service of a legislator via web-based entertainment. Essentially, Air India lodge group individuals Mayank Mohan Sharma and KVJ Rao were kept for poking vulgar fun at legislators. Whatever cases have come to the front external India too. Jake Newsom was detained in West Yorkshire for posting remarks on Facebook about the homicide of an educator.

3.4 Utilization of Social Media

Improper utilization of online entertainment is an extremely normal peculiarity. On different virtual entertainment stages like Twitter, Facebook, and so on, the utilization of harmful language or disdain discourse is outstandingly normal. Before, a few understudies and experts from the North Eastern piece of India; Engaged with a negative occurrence in both Delhi and Bangalore. A solid mission has been worked out through Facebook and Twitter against a particular religion, which impelled general crowds in unambiguous regions in Western UP. It is exceptionally simple to post or remark on anything frightful via web-based entertainment.

It is additionally seen that numerous mentally ruthless associations, workplaces and fundamentalist gatherings are consistently abusing this internet based stage for restricted and general interest like Jihad. The issue of adoration jihad has likewise sprung up via virtual entertainment. Which was very unsafe for the general public? This large number of issues was raised by an ideological group on the foundation of web-based entertainment according to the perspective of their vote bank gains.

4. Hate Speech

Hate speech, as opposed to actual threats or fighting words, is a broad category of speech that includes protected and unprotected speech. That hate speech is unsafe to the extent that it constitutes an actual threatening or belligerent language; it is protected to the extent that it does not rise to the level of an actual threat or belligerent language.

Cohen-Almagor defines hate speech as

*"Ba bias-motivated, hostile, malicious speech aimed at a person or group of people because of some of their actual or perceived innate characteristics. It expresses discriminatory, intimidating, disapproving, antagonistic, or prejudicial attitudes towards the disliked target group."*⁶

United Nations Secretary-General António Guterres, May 2019

*"Addressing hate speech does not mean limiting or prohibiting freedom of speech. It means keeping hate speech from escalating into something more dangerous, particularly incitement to discrimination, hostility and violence, which is prohibited under international law."*⁷

Hostile discourse; what target just a gathering or a person based on inborn qualities (like race, religion, or orientation) and may compromise social harmony is alluded to as "can't stand discourse". Under global basic liberties regulation, there is no all inclusive meaning of can't stand discourse. The thought is still controversial, particularly corresponding to fairness, non-segregation and opportunity of articulation. No state religion has been conceived in the Constitution of India. As per Article 25(1) of the Constitution, "all people are similarly qualified for opportunity of still, small voice and the option to declare, practice and spread religion"⁸ and Article 19 ensures the right to speak freely of discourse and articulation to all occupants Awards the right to discourse, yet depends on "reasonable cutoff points" to safeguard "public solicitation, expectedness or moral quality". As per Article 28, strict guidance is illegal in any instructive foundation which is entirely supported by the State.

A few segments of the Indian Reformatory Code, the Code of Criminal System, and different regulations through which the right to speak freely of discourse can be confined likewise make disdain discourse unlawful in India. In the event that "any matter comes to the notification of the State Government, the distribution of which is culpable under segment 124A or area 153A or segment 153B or area 292 or segment 293 or segment 295A of the Indian Reformatory Code, that Administration will Under segment 95 there is an option to proclaim specific distributions as "seized".

The Select Board, in its report, expressed that the reason for the law was to rebuff people who were engaged with determined disrespect or goes after against different religions or their strict figures. The distributor was arrested in light of a protest, however was subsequently delivered in April 1929 as there was no regulation against offending

religion. There was no infringement of segment 153(A) by him as the book didn't make animosity or disdain between various strict networks. Nonetheless, it likewise expressed that the creator might ridicule a religion to cause to notice social change. A solicitation for a regulation restricting affront to strict sentiments was made by the Indian Muslim people group. Ilm-ud-din murdered the publisher in the court.⁹

The State of Mysore vs. Henry Rodrigues and Anr.,

¹⁰ In 1961, The High Court expected Henry Rodrigues to take responsibility for culpable the severe convictions of Roman Catholics, and acted with a retaliatory reason in the uniform circulation and printing of the 'Crusader' magazine. The respondent, who was a Roman Catholic, expressed that he had stood in opposition to specific Roman Catholic Church practices and convictions that conflicted with the Book of scriptures. He noticed that numerous other renowned works offered comparative points of view to his. He was condemned to pay Rs 200, and in default of installment of fine, straightforward detainment for one month.

S. Veerabdran Chettiar vs. E. V. Ramaswami Naicker & Others,¹¹

The Supreme Court contradicted the lower court's choice for this situation and condemned the lower court for being negative however reasoned that 5 years have passed and the matter is flat; The solicitor expressed that prior to breaking the icon, the denounced obviously expressed in his discourse that he needed to affront the feelings of the Hindu people group by breaking the symbol of God. The Meetings Court judge said that breaking of a symbol by a nonbeliever can't be viewed as an affront to his religion by a devotee. The High Court judge likewise wouldn't confirm that it was a fit case for appeal to the High Court under Craftmanship. The Meetings Court and the High Court concurred with the perspective on the Preliminary Justice. The neighborhood preliminary justice excused the grievance, saying that breaking a Ganesha icon made of dirt was not an offense.

After senior counsel Basva Patil informed the court that such leaders repeatedly deliver hate speeches, igniting regional, religious, and ethnic fervor, an apex court bench headed by the then-Chief Justice Altamas Kabir issued the notice, the court issued the order.

On Monday, April 8, 2013, the Supreme Court issued a notice to the central government regarding a petition that sought the formulation of guidelines to prevent elected representatives from delivering hate speeches in support of their political objectives. He demanded that the rule of law be strengthened and that such leaders not be permitted to deliver hateful speeches on a regular basis.¹²

On Monday, March 3, 2014, Advocate M L Sharma filed a petition for judicial intervention requesting that the Supreme Court direct the Election Commission to curb hate speech. The Supreme Court rejected the argument, stating that it could not restrict the fundamental right of individuals to express them.¹³

Azam Khan, a senior minister in the Uttar Pradesh government, stated in December 2015 that RSS employees are homosexual¹⁴. Kamlesh Tiwari responded by making an objectionable statement in opposition to the Prophet

Mohammed. Kamlesh Tiwari was detained and denied bail. Kaliachak riots broke out in West Bengal as a result of a Muslim rally against Kamlesh Tiwari.¹⁵

A complaint about hurting religious sentiments was filed by Trinamool Congress leader Sanaullah Khan, and on September 20, 2016, a blogger named Tarak Biswas was arrested for criticizing Islam under Sections 295A, 298, 66, 67, and 67A of the IT Act.¹⁶

Two people were taken into custody on February 25, 2018, on suspicion of making disparaging remarks about Prime Minister Narendra Modi, Uttar Pradesh Chief Minister Yogi Adityanath, and Hindu deities. Section 295-A charges were filed against four individuals.¹⁷

5. Censorship

Virtual entertainment stages themselves force control on their clients because of resistance with rules they have made, expecting clients to forgo posting content that abuses their terms of administration, or states limiting the utilization of web-based entertainment by their residents. forces control on the technique for Movies in India are edited on grounds of vulgarity, sex and viciousness; However this letter doesn't plan to wander into those areas, rather it looks for somewhere else where movies are restricted or designated for the sake of keeping public control; to regard convictions, sentiments and customs; or to condemn the state on specific issues. Oversight for the most part happens because of either expected or potential adverse consequences coming about because of the spread of comparative material or data before. Such control is legitimate by the likelihood that the utilization of such data by the general population might upset the honesty or concordance of the State as well as the respectability of a specific local area or people. Thusly, the right to the right to speak freely of discourse and articulation is compromised with regards to virtual entertainment, albeit the level of this danger involves banter.

Dissimilar to films, India as of now doesn't have oversight in the severe feeling of the word with regards to web-based entertainment. Nonetheless, Area 66A of the Data Innovation (IT) that's what act expressed:

"He who sends

(a) Data through PC asset or specialized gadget which is terribly questionable or hazardous in character; by the same token.

(b) any data which he knows to be misleading, however with the end goal of relentlessly involving such PC asset or specialized gadget with the end goal of irritation, burden, risk, check, affront, injury, criminal terrorizing, animosity, scorn, or noxiousness,

(c) Any electronic mail or electronic mail message expected to disturb, bother, or bamboozle or delude the beneficiary or beneficiaries with respect to the beginning of such messages will be culpable

In spite of the fact that it is by and large acknowledged that people ought to be permitted to offer their own viewpoint except if the post adds up to subversion, which lately has been an offense under segment 124-An of the Indian Correctional Code , it is

accepted that people go overboard to somebody. Online entertainment for specific circumstances. Bits of gossip disdain discourse and remarks antagonistic to the nation are a few instances of material that can possibly upset harmony and solidarity in any country. Be that as it may, as referenced prior, Segment 66A of the IT Act seriously limited the right to speak freely of discourse, and like numerous different regulations in our country, the Demonstration had a few ill defined situations.

For the first time before the Supreme Court the constitutionality of censorship under the 1952 Act along with the Rules framed under it was challenged in the case of K.A. Abbas v. Union of India.¹⁸

S. Rangarajan v. P. Jagjivan Ram¹⁹, the Supreme Court overruled the High Court decision and upheld the freedom of speech and expression. It stated:

"The democracy is a Government by the people via open discussion. The democratic form of government itself demands its citizens an active and intelligent participation in the affairs of the community. The public discussion with people participation is a basic feature and a rational process of democracy which distinguishes it from all other forms of government. The democracy can neither work nor prosper unless people go out to share their views. The truth is that public discussion on issues relating to administration has positive value."

The primary functions of social media are connecting, networking, and expressing one's opinions. We investigate a couple of these cases to more readily comprehend how Web oversight functioned in our country. Before posting, content on social media platforms should be edited or censored. An agency of the government ought to examine social media activity and its subsequent effects. However, we believe that social networking sites must adhere to ethical standards and filter content before posting it. This organization ought to also establish a few posting procedures for social media. However, the then-IT Minister Kapil Sibal stated specifically in 2012 that "No Indian government shall censor Social Media. Perhaps his intentions had been dissipating fears of a China like situation".²⁰

6. Conclusion & Suggestion

The government ought to set up a council of specialized experts to inspect a few parts of the purpose and abuse of virtual entertainment so the specialized board can give its suggestions on the most proficient method to direct it without forfeiting the social equality of individuals. Because of the rising number of web-based entertainment stages being taken advantage of for unlawful reasons, states all over the planet have moved to fix their controls via virtual entertainment to restrict what individuals can say on them. Moreover, any move initiated by web-based entertainment stages during political conflicts between the public authority and resistance groups would be viewed as preferable by the general population at large. Despite widespread support for regulatory control over online entertainment, genuine concerns have been raised about the potential for common-

law violations as a result of such restrictions. ns. Virtual entertainment stages ought to likewise be considered responsible for the mischief they cause, and rules ought to be laid out for how they ought to act on the web.

The Indian government has a genuine reason to be worried about the effect of web-based entertainment on the nation's general public. While it is feasible to expect that the president of a virtual entertainment site today is objective for contention, the equivalent can't be accepted for the chief representative of things to come. To keep online entertainment from becoming a source of contention, guidelines should be implemented rather than restrictions imposed. Thus, there is a requirement for a particular regulation to control virtual entertainment.

Government, specialist cooperatives, and customers should collaborate to create a sound web-based entertainment culture in our country. In a country as enormous and diverse as India, setting rules for virtual entertainment presents numerous reasonable difficulties. Online entertainment is an extremely compelling device for practicing the right to speak freely of discourse and articulation. Managing web-based entertainment is likewise fundamental since it is increasingly being used for unlawful purposes.

Editing films for reasons, for example, regarding individuals' feelings and keeping up with harmony in a public spot is crazy, as their circuitous translation might send an off-base message to the general population. Best of all, the crowd can choose for themselves subsequent to watching it. The overall population of our country might miss the mark on training; however, they may likewise need good judgment. Biased bunches are those that purposely misshape the subject and misdirect others for their own advantage. In contrast to the norm, no gathering acts as a legitimate aide.

Similarly, it may simply accept that the majority of public authority must continue and that film and fiction screenings cannot be denied for reasons as simple as the speculative notion that prohibiting movies is equivalent to limiting the option to have. The public authority should ensure that the rule of peace and law is upheld by taking appropriate action against the concerned individual if any illegal method is used to stop the film presentation. On the off chance that such a body is essential by any stretch of the imagination, it ought to be freer than a manikin constrained by public authority. Limitations on individual flexibility of articulation ought to be endured only when they are absolutely necessary to forestall real damage. Furthermore, refusing to watch movies despite the blue pencil board's approval is not only a risky act of prejudice development but also an inconsistent demonstration.

After a careful look at the large number of events, decisions, and rules, the Control Board's exercises and reasons for being created become very controversial.

References

1. Central Board of Film Certification Home Page, <http://www.cbfcindia.tn.nic.in/> (last visited Aug. 21, 2008).

2. Universal Declaration of Human Rights, 10th December, 1948
3. Art 19 of Constitution of India
4. (1954) S.C.R. 587
5. 1995 AIR 1236, 1995 SCC (2) 161
6. Confronting the Internet's Dark Side at 148.
7. United Nations Secretary-General António Guterres, May 2019
8. Art 25 (1) of Constitution of India
9. "1929 killing of Hindu publisher". TheGuardian.com. 12 March 2015.
10. 1962 CriLJ 564
11. 1958 AIR 1032, 1959 SCR 1211
12. Pravasi Bhalai Sangathan vs U.O.I. & Ors AIR 2014 SC 1591
13. Supreme Court Erred Again: Mistaken on Hate Speech as Free Speech". 5 March 2014.
14. http://www.dailypioneer.com/print.php?printFOR=storydetail&story_url_key=nsa-against-tiwari-abhm-to-challenge-hcs-order§ion_url_key=state-editions
15. http://www.dailypioneer.com/print.php?printFOR=storydetail&story_url_key=nsa-against-tiwari-abhm-to-challenge-hcs-order§ion_url_key=state-editions
16. "Blogger arrested in India? Bengal for criticising Islam on social media
17. "Two Arrested for Making 'Derogatory' Remarks Against Modi, Adityanath and Hindu Gods"
18. A.I.R. 1971 S.C. 481
19. (1989) 2 S.C.C. 574, 592.
20. IT Minister Kapil Sibal stated specifically in 2012